



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/585,553	06/02/2000	Shuji Ono	3562-0102P	5106
7:	590 09/27/2005		EXAM	INER
Birch Stewart Kolasch and Birch LLP P O Box 747			TRAN, NHAN T	
	Falls Church, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2615	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/585,553	ONO, SHUJI
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Nhan T. Tran	2615
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-5,16,20 and 22-24 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-15,17-19 and 21 is/Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-5,16,20 and 22-24 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine	/are withdrawn from consideration	1.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application fity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inforn	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ite atent Application (PTO-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/21/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 9 of the Applicant's remarks, the Applicant asserts that there is no teaching or suggestion in Iijima et al. that is directed to an extractor for extracting image information relating to conditions of the image from the first image and depth information indicating a distance between a point to another point on the subject from the second image.

In response, the Examiner respectfully clarifies that the Applicant's claimed invention does not require an extractor for extracting image information relating to conditions of the image from **ONLY** the first image and depth information indicating a distance between a point to another point on the subject from **ONLY** the second image. Thus, claimed limitations are broadly met by Iijima as analyzed in the previous Office Action. It is clearly seen from Figs. 3A & 3B; col. 12, lines 49-65 and col. 14, lines 49-63 that *conditions* of right and left images are extracted at least in form of *luminance values*, and also depth information indicating a distance between a point to another point from both right and left images is extracted to determine 3D shape (also see col. 10, lines 61-65).

In view of the above, the Examiner believes that the broadest interpretation of the present claimed invention does, in fact, read on the cited references for at least the reasons discussed above and as stated in the following Office Action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-5, 16 & 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Iijima et al (US 6,823,080).

Regarding claim 1, Iijima discloses an image processing apparatus for processing an image obtained by photographing a subject (Figs. 2-3B & 25), comprising:

an image data unit (1) for capturing a first image (left image) and a second image (right image) of the subject (2, 3), said second image being captured in a parallactic manner (see col. 11, line 64 – col. 12, line 41 and col. 14, lines 18-30);

an extractor (image processor 220, detailed shown in Fig. 5) for extracting image information (i.e., luminance values) relating to conditions of the image from said first image and depth information indicating a distance between a point to another point on the subject from said second image (see Fig. 3; col. 10, lines 61-65; col. 12, lines 56-65; col. 13, line 64 – col. 14, line 3 and col. 14, lines 49-63 and note that since image information such as luminance and depth information in the object are extracted from both right and left images, the claimed limitations are generally met);

Application/Control Number: 09/585,553

Art Unit: 2615

a condition-determining unit for determining a process condition of said first image based

on said depth information (col. 10, lines 7-15).

Regarding claim 2, Iijima discloses an image processing unit processing the image based

on the condition for processing the image (col. 10, lines 7-15).

Regarding claim 3, Iijima also discloses that the extractor extracts the depth information

based on the parallactic image obtained by photographing the subject from different view points

(i.e., view points from position A0 to position An). See col. 4, lines 33-40 and col. 10, lines 37-

40.

Regarding claim 4, it is clear in Fig. 25 that an aimed object (i.e., a cup) is extracted

based on the depth information, and the condition determining unit determines the condition for

processing the image based on information including the data of the aimed object (col. 10, lines

7-15).

Regarding claim 5, see the analysis of claims 1 & 4, wherein image information is

luminance level (col. 14, lines 55-62).

Regarding claim 16, see the analysis of claim 1.

Page 4

Regarding claim 20, see the analysis of claim 1. Furthermore, Iijima discloses a recording medium storing therein a program executed by a computer (e.g., a microcomputer of system controller shown in Fig. 4 and/or an external computer shown in Fig. 2) to perform a method of processing an image obtained by photographing a subject (see col. 12, lines 42-49).

Regarding claims 22-24, Iijima also discloses that determined process condition is a color condition (luminance values). See col. 10, lines 7-15, wherein the data combining unit 1000 shown in Fig. 2 or 1125 shown in Fig. 25 determines a process condition by combining a plurality of luminance values of images for outputting a final image.

#### Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/585,553

Art Unit: 2615

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 6

examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7371. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NT.

DAVID L. OMEIZ SUPERVISORY PATENT

EXAMINER